Published online 20 June 2014 in Wiley Online Library

## Enhancing the Performance of Exponentially Weighted Moving Average Charts: Discussion

## Nasir Abbas,<sup>a</sup>\* Muhammad Riaz<sup>b</sup> and Ronald J. M. M. Does<sup>c</sup>

Abbas *et al.* (Abbas N, Riaz M, Does RJMM. Enhancing the performance of EWMA charts. Quality and Reliability Engineering International 2011; 27(6):821–833) proposed the use of signaling schemes with exponentially weighted moving average charts (named as 2/2 and modified – 2/3 schemes) for their improved design structures. A two-sided control structure of these schemes is given in the paper. The computational results in some of the tables of that paper for modified – 2/3 are mistakenly given for the one-sided control structure. The corrected two-sided results are provided here. It is noticed that the superiority of the proposed scheme over the classical exponentially weighted moving average chart remains but is less pronounced. Copyright © 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Keywords: average run length (ARL); control chart; CUSUM; in-control; out-of-control; runs rules

bbas *et al.*<sup>1</sup> proposed two signaling schemes to be applied with the control structure of the exponentially weighted moving average chart. These schemes are named as the simple 2/2 scheme and the modified – 2/3 scheme. The plotting statistic for the modified – 2/3 scheme is given in their paper in (2), whereas the two-sided control limits are given in (7). The average run lengths and standard deviation of the run lengths for the modified – 2/3 scheme given in Tables III, V, VII, and IX are mistakenly computed for one-sided upper limits by Abbas *et al.*<sup>1</sup> The reason is being the omitted statement in a simulation code (mistakenly) dealing with the lower sided limit. The corrected versions of these tables are given in the succeeding text, which are computed for the two-sided control limits. From these revised results, we can see that the values of the control limit coefficients ( $L_s$ ) are revised,

Table III. Average run length values for the proposed Scheme II at ARL <sub>0</sub> = 168				
2	$\lambda = 0.1$	$\lambda=0.25$	$\lambda=$ 0.5	$\lambda = 0.75$
0	$L_{\rm s} = 2.158$	$L_{s} = 2.214$	$L_{s} = 2.079$	$L_{s} = 1.873$
0	167.09	167.866	167.425	168.398
0.25	53.71	71.588	89.575	100.892
0.5	19.371	25.573	35.431	43.219
0.75	10.403	12.556	16.605	20.535
1	6.829	7.729	9.428	11.423
1.5	4.013	4.277	4.566	5.053
2	2.963	3.057	3.086	3.184
<b>Table V.</b> Average run length values for the proposed Scheme II at $ARL_0 = 200$				

Table V. Average run length values for the proposed scheme II at $ARL_0 = 200$				
S	$\lambda = 0.1$	$\lambda = 0.25$	$\lambda=$ 0.5	$\lambda = 0.75$
0	$L_{\rm s} = 2.236$	$L_{\rm s} = 2.276$	$L_{s} = 2.134$	$L_{\rm s} = 1.921$
0	201.442	199.180	200.759	199.665
0.25	60.891	81.004	105.348	117.877
0.5	20.883	28.031	39.616	48.898
0.75	11.042	13.346	18.008	22.739
1	7.188	8.089	10.108	12.338
1.5	4.161	4.408	4.759	5.289
2	3.043	3.127	3.160	3.264

<sup>a</sup>Department of Statistics, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan

Copyright © 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Fahad University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, 31261 Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup>Department of Quantitative Economics, IBIS UvA, University of Amsterdam, 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

\*Correspondence to: Nasir Abbas, Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan. E-mail: nasirabbas55@yahoo.com

<b>Table VII.</b> Average run length values for the proposed scheme II at $ARL_0 = 500$					
δ	$\lambda = 0.1$	$\lambda = 0.25$	$\lambda = 0.5$	$\lambda = 0.75$	
	$L_{\rm s} = 2.579$	$L_{s} = 2.581$	$L_{\rm s} = 2.398$	$L_{\rm s} = 2.163$	
0	500.494	501.463	500.168	501.088	
0.25	102.588	161.806	227.591	263.445	
0.5	29.163	44.691	72.144	95.725	
0.75	14.214	18.744	28.661	39.297	
1	8.877	10.415	14.242	19.096	
1.5	4.889	5.174	5.84	6.91	
2	3.438	3.513	3.578	3.821	

<b>Table IX.</b> Standard deviation of the run length values for the proposed scheme II at $ARL_0 = 500$				
e	$\lambda = 0.1$	$\lambda = 0.25$	$\lambda = 0.5$	$\lambda = 0.75$
0	$L_{\rm s} = 2.579$	$L_{s} = 2.581$	$L_{\rm s} = 2.398$	$L_{\rm s} = 2.163$
0	501.462	500.143	499.284	499.8
0.25	95.62	158.02	225.824	261.006
0.5	22.427	40.308	69.589	93.98
0.75	9.218	14.849	26.11	37.208
1	5.046	7.039	11.708	17.142
1.5	2.253	2.585	3.688	5.118
2	1.289	1.373	1.64	2.137

ARL, average run length.

and the superiority of the modified -2/3 scheme is still there (as established in the paper) against all the competitors discussed in Section 5 by Abbas *et al.*<sup>1</sup> However, the strength of superiority is substantially lower in case of the revised results. In addition to these rules, we suggest the use of more refined rules of Riaz *et al.*<sup>2</sup> and Mehmood *et al.*<sup>3</sup> because of the independent capacity of each rule.

## References

- 1. Abbas N, Riaz M, Does RJMM. Enhancing the performance of EWMA charts. Quality and Reliability Engineering International 2011; 27(6):821–833.
- 2. Riaz M, Mehmood R, Does RJMM. On the performance of different control charting rules. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International* 2011; **27**(8):1059–1067.
- 3. Mehmood R, Riaz M, Does RJMM. Efficient power computation for r out of m runs rules schemes. Computational Statistics 2013; 28(2):667-681.

## Authors' biographies

**Nasir Abbas** is serving as an Assistant Professor at the Department of Statistics, University of Sargodha Pakistan. He obtain his PhD degree in Industrial Statistics from the Institute for Business and Industrial Statistics at the University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands, in 2012. He also served as an Assistant Census Commissioner in Pakistan Bureau of Statistics from July 2011 to January 2013. His current research interests include quality control particularly on control charting methodologies under parametric and nonparametric environments.

**Muhammad Riaz** obtained PhD degree in Statistics from the Institute for Business and Industrial Statistics, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands, in 2008. He holds the position of Associate Professor in the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia. His current research interests include statistical process control, nonparametric techniques, and experimental design.

**Ronald J.M.M. Does** is a Professor of Industrial Statistics at the University of Amsterdam, Managing Director of the Institute for Business and Industrial Statistics, which operates as an independent consultancy firm within the University of Amsterdam, Head of the Department of Operations Management at the Faculty of Economics and Business, and Director of the Institute of Executive Programs at the Amsterdam Business School. His current research activities include the design of control charts for nonstandard situations, healthcare engineering, and operational management methods.